
Appl. No. 10/806,818 Response to Motica mailed June 28, 2006



REMARKS

In the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121) it was stated that claims 3 to 10 were not provided with the proper identifier in the AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE TO THE RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT filed April 19, 2006. It was indicated in said Notice that claims 3 to 10 should have been identified as "withdrawn", not "original".

In the above listing of claims, to comply with said Notice, claims 3 to 10 were indicated as being "withdrawn". However, it is respectfully submitted that prior to said AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE TO THE RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT, claims 3 to 10 were not indicated as being "withdrawn" by the USPTO, but rather indicated as being "subject to restriction and/or election requirement" in the March 21, 2006 Office Action.

Entry of the new claims submitted herein is respectfully requested.

If the Examiner has any comments, questions, objections or recommendations, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the telephone number given below for prompt action.

Appl. No. 10/806,818 Response to Notice mailed June 28, 2006

Frishauf, Holtz, Goodman & Chick, P.C.

220 Fifth Ave., 16th Floor New York, NY 10001-7708 Tel. No.: (212) 319-4900 Fax No.: (212) 319-5101 Respectfully submitted,

Richard S. Barth REG. NO. 28,180

E-Mail Address: BARTH@FHGC-LAW.COM

RSB/rrb

. . . .